

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O But 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 2313-1450 www.waybi.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/564,185	08/07/2006	Hans-Peter Buchstaller	030863-00004	8027
4372 ARENT FOX 1	7590 09/14/200	9	EXAMINER	
1050 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, N.W.			LOEWE, SUN JAE Y	
SUITE 400 WASHINGTON, DC 20036			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	- ,		1626	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/14/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

DCIPDocket@arentfox.com IPMatters@arentfox.com Patent Mail@arentfox.com

Interview Summary

 Application No.
 Applicant(s)

 10/564,185
 BUCHSTALLER ET AL.

 Examiner
 Art Unit

 SINLIAFY LOEWE
 1626

	Examiner	Art Unit				
	SUN JAE Y. LOEWE	1626				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):						
(1) <u>SUN JAE Y. LOEWE</u> .	(3)					
(2) RONALD KAMIS.	(4)					
Date of Interview: <u>08 September 2009</u> .						
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2	2) applicant's representative	e]				
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.					
Claim(s) discussed: <u>na</u> .						
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>na</u> .						
Agreement with respect to the claims f)☐ was reached. g)∏ was not reached. h)⊠ N	I/A.				
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>Finality of the rejection based on the rejoinder of process claims</u> , which was necessitated by amendment to make product claims allowable.						
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)						
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF FONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE. OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.						
/Sun Jae Y. Loewe/						